AD EDUXIAN JOURNAL
A Quarterly Multidisciplinary Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Online International Journal
Google Scholar Indexing **PIF: 1.048(I2OR), 3.125 (IIFS) & 3.8(SJIF)**
Vol (3), Issue (2), April-June 2026 *ISSN: 3048-7951*

Strength in Sports: Foundations, Adaptive Mechanisms, and Contemporary Training Approaches

Shaybal Chanda[1], Jitendra Pratap Singh[2], Ummay Hafsa Rumky[3]

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20678800
Review: 01/05/2026 **Acceptance: 04/05/2026** **Publication: 12/06/2026**

Abstract

Strength is a core component of physical fitness and a major determinant of sports performance, injury prevention, and rehabilitation. In sport science, strength refers to the ability of the neuromuscular system to produce force against external or internal resistance. It includes maximal, relative, explosive, reactive, isometric, isokinetic, concentric, eccentric, static, dynamic, and functional forms. This review summarizes the conceptual, physiological, hormonal, morphological, and mitochondrial bases of strength in sports. It also examines fundamental and contemporary strength development methods, including free-weight training, isometric training, isokinetic training, plyometrics, velocity-based training, flywheel training, blood-flow restriction training, PNF, water-resistance training, vector-oriented training, and perturbation-based approaches. Current literature supports strength training as a performance-enhancing and injury-preventive intervention when load, volume, velocity, movement pattern, recovery, and sport specificity are appropriately managed. However, excessive hypertrophy, poorly planned heavy lifting, inadequate mobility, and failure to train rate of force development may compromise speed and movement quality. Therefore, strength development should be individualized, evidence-based, legally supported, and integrated with technical, tactical, speed, and conditioning demands of sport.

Keywords: Physical Fitness, Sports Performance, Isokinetic Strength, Neuromuscular Adaptation, Muscular Hypertrophy, Velocity-Based Training, Injury Prevention.

Introduction

Physical fitness is a multidimensional construct that includes strength, endurance, speed, power, agility, flexibility, coordination, and body composition. Strength is not separate from fitness; it is one of its most important components. In sport, strength provides the mechanical base for sprinting, jumping, throwing, tackling, grappling, landing, decelerating, and changing direction (Suchomel et al., 2016; Cormie et al., 2011). Stronger athletes often show superior power, sprint, jump, and change-of-direction performance when strength is transferred through sport-specific movement patterns (Suchomel et al., 2018; Seitz et al., 2014). Strength training also reduces sports injury risk, particularly when it targets neuromuscular control, eccentric capacity, tissue tolerance, and movement quality (Lauersen et al., 2014). This review critically discusses the concept, significance, mechanisms, methods, risks, and applied value of strength in sports.

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Physical Education and Sports Science, Jashore University of Science and Technology. Bangladesh. E-mail: shaybalchanda@yahoo.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1158-9688

[2]Assistant Director of Physical Education, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya, India. E-mail: jpsingh@cusb.ac.in, ORCID: 0009-0003-9442-7944

[3]Student, Department of Physical Education and Sports Science, Jashore University of Science and Technology. Bangladesh. E-mail: ummayhafsarumky2140@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3444-5998

Conceptual Understanding of Strength

Strength is the ability of the neuromuscular system to generate force against resistance. General strength refers to the overall force capacity of the body, whereas sport-specific strength refers to force production in movement patterns, velocities, postures, and energy-system demands relevant to a given sport. Absolute strength describes total force output, while relative strength expresses force in relation to body mass, which is especially important in sprinting, jumping, gymnastics, combat sports, and weight-category events (Suchomel et al., 2016).

Strength appears in several forms. Maximum strength is the highest force produced voluntarily. Explosive strength and speed strength refer to rapid force production. Starting strength describes the ability to generate force early in movement, while reactive strength reflects the stretch-shortening cycle during landing, rebounding, sprinting, and change of direction (Cormie et al., 2011; Markovic & Mikulic, 2010). Strength endurance allows repeated force production. Isometric strength occurs without visible joint movement; concentric strength occurs during muscle shortening; eccentric strength occurs during muscle lengthening; and isokinetic strength refers to force produced at a constant angular velocity, usually measured or trained using specialized dynamometry (Zouita et al., 2020). Functional strength refers to force that transfers efficiently into real sport performance.

Physiological, Hormonal, Morphological, and Mitochondrial Basis

Strength development depends first on neural adaptation. Early gains are strongly linked to increased motor unit recruitment, firing frequency, synchronization, neural drive, and improved intermuscular and intramuscular coordination (Folland & Williams, 2007; Škarabot et al., 2021). Rate of force development is especially important in sport because many actions occur within very short time windows (Maffiuletti et al., 2016).

Hormonal responses support, but do not independently explain, strength adaptation. Testosterone, growth hormone, insulin-like growth factor-1, insulin, and catecholamines contribute to muscle protein synthesis, tissue repair, substrate availability, and acute training response (Vingren et al., 2010; Kraemer & Ratamess, 2005; Gharahdaghi et al., 2021). In addition to these thyroid hormones, triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4), secreted by the thyroid gland, regulate energy production, muscle metabolism, and normal muscle contraction, thereby helping to maintain muscle strength; both deficient and excessive hormone levels can lead to muscle weakness (Hall & Hall, 2021). Chronic cortisol elevation may oppose adaptation by increasing protein breakdown and impairing recovery. Myostatin acts as a negative regulator of muscle growth, while thyroid hormones influence metabolic rate and muscle function. These natural responses must be separated from illegal hormonal manipulation. Anabolic-androgenic steroids and growth hormone misuse may alter body composition, but they carry cardiovascular, endocrine, psychological, and ethical risks (Baumann, 2012; Wenbo et al., 2023; Mingxing et al., 2025).

Morphologically, strength gains are associated with increased muscle cross-sectional area, fascicle length, pennation angle, tendon stiffness, and improved muscle architecture (Schoenfeld, 2010; Franchi et al., 2017). Eccentric training can produce high forces and meaningful architectural adaptations, but it also increases delayed-onset soreness and recovery demands (Douglas et al., 2017). Mitochondrial adaptation is more complex. Endurance training is the primary stimulus for mitochondrial biogenesis, but resistance training can influence mitochondrial function, especially when training volume and metabolic stress are sufficient (Groennebaek & Vissing, 2017; Hughes et al., 2018).

Excessive hypertrophy may reduce relative mitochondrial density if muscle size increases faster than oxidative capacity. Concurrent training can help maintain both strength and oxidative function when volume, order, and recovery are managed properly (Fyfe et al., 2014; Hughes et al., 2018).

Strength Development and the Speed Problem

Strength improves speed when it increases force production, stiffness regulation, impulse, and rate of force development. However, strength training can reduce speed when it produces unnecessary body mass, excessive fatigue, reduced mobility, slow movement habits, or poor sprint mechanics. Heavy slow lifting alone is not enough for sprint-based sports. Athletes need relative strength, explosive strength, plyometrics, sprint exposure, and velocity-specific training (Cormie et al., 2011; Seitz et al., 2014). Velocity-based training helps monitor load and fatigue by using bar speed rather than relying on the percentage of one-repetition maximum (Włodarczyk et al., 2021). This approach may reduce unnecessary fatigue and improve strength-power transfer in trained athletes.

Fundamental and Contemporary Methods

Traditional methods include free-weight training, machine training, bodyweight training, isometric training, isokinetic training, concentric training, eccentric training, plyometrics, Olympic lifting, circuit training, resistance-band training, and core training. Each has limitations. Free weights demand technique; machines may lack sport specificity; bodyweight exercise may limit overload; isometrics are joint-angle specific; isokinetic work requires costly equipment; eccentric work increases soreness; plyometrics require prior strength and landing skill; Olympic lifting is technically demanding; and core training is often overused without clear transfer. Though exercises such as planks, crunches, sit-ups, and Swiss-ball drills can improve trunk stability and core endurance, they do not automatically improve sports skills such as sprinting, jumping, throwing, or kicking. For example, a football player may improve plank-hold time, but this may not increase kicking power unless the training also includes sport-specific force production, hip rotation, balance, and movement speed. Therefore, core training should be designed according to the movement, force, velocity, and coordination demands of the sport.

Contemporary methods add more precise or context-specific stimuli. Plyometric training improves jumping, sprinting, and reactive strength when properly progressed (Markovic & Mikulic, 2010; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2021). Flywheel training emphasises eccentric overload and may improve jumping, change-of-direction, and deceleration capacity (Raya-González et al., 2021; Buonsenso et al., 2023). Blood-flow restriction training can improve strength and hypertrophy with low external loads, making it useful when heavy loading is not possible (Lixandrão et al., 2018; Centner et al., 2019). Cluster sets help preserve velocity and power by reducing fatigue between repetitions (Tufano et al., 2017). Contrast and complex training use post-activation performance enhancement to improve explosive output (Seitz & Haff, 2016).

PNF is best supported for improving range of motion and neuromuscular control rather than maximal strength itself (Hindle et al., 2012). Water-resistance training is useful in rehabilitation and return-to-play because buoyancy reduces joint loading while resistance remains movement-dependent. Flexi-bar and perturbation-based training may activate stabilizing muscles, especially around the trunk and shoulder, but evidence for direct sports performance enhancement is weaker than for plyometrics, flywheel, and velocity-based training. Vector-oriented training should be viewed as a programming principle in which exercise selection is guided by the direction of force required in sport-specific movements, rather than as a fully established independent training method.

Curative, Preventive, and Performance Significance

Strength training has curative value in rehabilitation when it restores force capacity, corrects asymmetry, improves tendon and muscle tolerance, and supports return-to-play testing. Isokinetic testing is useful after knee injury because it quantifies quadriceps and hamstring strength, bilateral asymmetry, and hamstring-to-quadriceps ratios (Zouita et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023). Preventively, strength training reduces injury risk by improving eccentric control, landing mechanics, trunk stability, joint stiffness regulation, and tissue capacity (Lauersen et al., 2014). Nordic hamstring and eccentric strategies are widely used to reduce hamstring injury risk, while balance, perturbation, and unilateral training may address asymmetry and poor control.

Performance enhancement depends on matching the strength method to sport demand. Sprinters need relative, explosive, and reactive strength. Wrestlers need maximum strength, grip strength, strength endurance, and reactive strength. Endurance athletes may benefit from strength training through improved economy and fatigue resistance rather than large hypertrophy (Rønnestad & Mujika, 2014; Beattie et al., 2014). Team-sport athletes need strength that supports acceleration, deceleration, jumping, contact actions, and repeated high-intensity efforts.

Legal and Illegal Development, Contraindications, and Application

Legal strength development includes structured resistance training, nutrition, sleep, hydration, recovery, coaching supervision, load monitoring, and legal supplementation such as creatine when allowed. Illegal approaches include anabolic steroids, growth hormone abuse, stimulants, blood doping, gene doping, and masking agents. These may promise rapid gains but threaten athlete health, fairness, and career integrity (Baumann, 2012; Handelsman, 2020; Wenbo et al., 2023).

Contraindications include acute injury, uncontrolled hypertension, severe pain, poor technique, overtraining, unsafe maximal lifting, inadequate recovery, and poor youth training design. Coaches should assess strength using 1RM (one-repetition maximum) or estimated 1RM, isokinetic testing, jump testing, sprint testing, movement screening, and training-load monitoring. Strength programs should be periodized, sport-specific, age-appropriate, and integrated with technical and conditioning work.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Several gaps remain in the literature on strength in sports. First, evidence remains limited for newer or less established approaches, such as Flexi-bar training and vector-oriented strength training, as independent interventions. Second, more research is needed on mitochondrial adaptations following sport-specific strength programs, especially in athletes who combine strength, speed, and endurance demands. Third, the challenge of improving strength without sacrificing speed warrants further investigation, particularly in sprinting, jumping, and team sports. Future studies should also focus more on female athletes, youth athletes, and athletes from low-resource sport settings. More comparative research is needed to examine the curative, preventive, and performance-enhancing effects of different strength-training methods within the same study design.

Conclusion

Google Scholar Indexing PIF: 1.048(I2OR), 3.125 (IIFS) & 3.8(SJIF)
Vol (3), Issue (2), April-June 2026 ISSN: 3048-7951

Strength is a central component of physical fitness and a key determinant of sports performance. It includes maximal, relative, explosive, reactive, isometric, isokinetic, eccentric, concentric, dynamic, static, and functional forms. Its development depends on neural, hormonal, muscular, tendon, and mitochondrial adaptations. The value of strength training is greatest when it is legal, progressive, evidence-based, and sport-specific. Poorly planned strength development may increase body mass, reduce movement speed, limit mobility, or increase fatigue. Therefore, strength training should aim to improve force production without compromising speed, recovery, movement quality, or sport-specific skill.

References

Baumann, G. P. (2012). Growth hormone doping in sports: A critical review of use and detection strategies. *Endocrine Reviews, 33*(2), 155–186. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2011-1035

Beattie, K., Kenny, I. C., Lyons, M., & Carson, B. P. (2014). The effect of strength training on performance in endurance athletes. *Sports Medicine, 44*(6), 845–865. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0157-y

Buonsenso, A., Centorbi, M., Iuliano, E., di Cagno, A., Fiorilli, G., Calcagno, G., & Di Costanzo, A. (2023). A systematic review of flywheel training effectiveness and application in sport. *Sports, 11*(4), 76. https://doi.org/10.3390/sports11040076

Centner, C., Wiegel, P., Gollhofer, A., & König, D. (2019). Effects of blood flow restriction training on muscular strength and hypertrophy in older individuals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine, 49*(1), 95–108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0994-1

Cormie, P., McGuigan, M. R., & Newton, R. U. (2011a). Developing maximal neuromuscular power: Part 1, Biological basis of maximal power production. *Sports Medicine, 41*(1), 17–38. https://doi.org/10.2165/11537690-000000000-00000

Cormie, P., McGuigan, M. R., & Newton, R. U. (2011b). Developing maximal neuromuscular power: Part 2, Training considerations for improving maximal power production. *Sports Medicine, 41*(2), 125–146. https://doi.org/10.2165/11538500-000000000-00000

Douglas, J., Pearson, S., Ross, A., & McGuigan, M. (2017). Eccentric exercise: Physiological characteristics and acute responses. *Sports Medicine, 47*(4), 663–675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0624-8

Folland, J. P., & Williams, A. G. (2007). The adaptations to strength training: Morphological and neurological contributions to increased strength. *Sports Medicine, 37*(2), 145–168. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200737020-00004

Franchi, M. V., Reeves, N. D., & Narici, M. V. (2017). Skeletal muscle remodeling in response to eccentric vs. concentric loading: Morphological, molecular, and metabolic adaptations. *Frontiers in Physiology, 8*, 447. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00447

Fyfe, J. J., Bishop, D. J., & Stepto, N. K. (2014). Interference between concurrent resistance and endurance exercise: Molecular bases and the role of individual training variables. *Sports Medicine, 44*(6), 743–762. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0162-1

Gharahdaghi, N., Phillips, B. E., Szewczyk, N. J., Smith, K., Wilkinson, D. J., & Atherton, P. J. (2021). Links between testosterone, oestrogen, and the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor axis and resistance exercise muscle adaptations. *Frontiers in Physiology, 11*, 621226. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.621226

Groennebaek, T., & Vissing, K. (2017). Impact of resistance training on skeletal muscle mitochondrial biogenesis, content, and function. *Frontiers in Physiology, 8*, 713. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00713

Hall, J. E., & Hall, M. E. (2021). *Guyton and Hall textbook of medical physiology* (14th ed.). Elsevier.

Handelsman, D. J. (2020). Performance enhancing hormone doping in sport. In K. R. Feingold, B. Anawalt, M. R. Blackman, et al. (Eds.), *Endotext*. MDText.com, Inc. DOI not available.

Hindle, K. B., Whitcomb, T. J., Briggs, W. O., & Hong, J. (2012). Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation: Its mechanisms and effects. *Journal of Human Kinetics, 31*, 105–113. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10078-012-0011-y

Hughes, D. C., Ellefsen, S., & Baar, K. (2018). Adaptations to endurance and strength training. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, 8*(6), a029769. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029769

Kraemer, W. J., & Ratamess, N. A. (2005). Hormonal responses and adaptations to resistance exercise and training. *Sports Medicine, 35*(4), 339–361. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200535040-00004

Lauersen, J. B., Bertelsen, D. M., & Andersen, L. B. (2014). The effectiveness of exercise interventions to prevent sports injuries: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 48*(11), 871–877. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092538

Lixandrão, M. E., Ugrinowitsch, C., Berton, R., Vechin, F. C., Conceição, M. S., Damas, F., Libardi, C. A., & Roschel, H. (2018). Magnitude of muscle strength and mass adaptations between high-load resistance training and low-load resistance training associated with blood-flow restriction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine, 48*(2), 361–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0795-y

Maffiuletti, N. A., Aagaard, P., Blazevich, A. J., Folland, J., Tillin, N., & Duchateau, J. (2016). Rate of force development: Physiological and methodological considerations. *European Journal of Applied Physiology, 116*(6), 1091–1116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-016-3346-6

Markovic, G., & Mikulic, P. (2010). Neuro-musculoskeletal and performance adaptations to lower-extremity plyometric training. *Sports Medicine, 40*(10), 859–895. https://doi.org/10.2165/11318370-000000000-00000

Mingxing, L., et al. (2025). Adverse effects of anabolic androgenic steroid abuse in athletes and physically active individuals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine*.

Ramirez-Campillo, R., Gentil, P., Negra, Y., Grgic, J., & Girard, O. (2021). Effects of plyometric jump training on repeated sprint ability in athletes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine, 51*(10), 2165–2179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01479-w

Raya-González, J., Prat-Luri, A., López-Valenciano, A., Sabido, R., & Hernández-Davó, J. L. (2021). Effects of flywheel resistance training on sport actions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Human Kinetics, 77*(1), 191–204. https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2021-0020

Rønnestad, B. R., & Mujika, I. (2014). Optimizing strength training for running and cycling endurance performance: A review. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 24*(4), 603–612. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12104

Schoenfeld, B. J. (2010). The mechanisms of muscle hypertrophy and their application to resistance training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 24*(10), 2857–2872. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e840f3

Seitz, L. B., & Haff, G. G. (2016). Factors modulating post-activation potentiation of jump, sprint, throw, and upper-body ballistic performances: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine, 46*(2), 231–240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0415-7

Seitz, L. B., Reyes, A., Tran, T. T., de Villarreal, E. S., & Haff, G. G. (2014). Increases in lower-body strength transfer positively to sprint performance: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine, 44*(12), 1693–1702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0227-1

Škarabot, J., Brownstein, C. G., Casolo, A., Del Vecchio, A., & Ansdell, P. (2021). The knowns and unknowns of neural adaptations to resistance training. *European Journal of Applied Physiology, 121*(3), 675–685. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-020-04567-3

Suchomel, T. J., Nimphius, S., & Stone, M. H. (2016). The importance of muscular strength in athletic performance. *Sports Medicine, 46*(10), 1419–1449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0486-0

Suchomel, T. J., Nimphius, S., Bellon, C. R., & Stone, M. H. (2018). The importance of muscular strength: Training considerations. *Sports Medicine, 48*(4), 765–785. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0862-z

Tufano, J. J., Brown, L. E., & Haff, G. G. (2017). Theoretical and practical aspects of different cluster set structures: A systematic review. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 31*(3), 848–867. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001581

Vingren, J. L., Kraemer, W. J., Ratamess, N. A., Anderson, J. M., Volek, J. S., & Maresh, C. M. (2010). Testosterone physiology in resistance exercise and training: The up-stream regulatory elements. *Sports Medicine, 40*(12), 1037–1053. https://doi.org/10.2165/11536910-000000000-00000

Wang, K., et al. (2023). Effect of isokinetic muscle strength training on knee rehabilitation. *Frontiers in Physiology, 14*, 1201657. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1201657

Wenbo, Z., et al. (2023). The uses of anabolic androgenic steroids among athletes: Its positive and negative aspects, a literature review. *Frontiers in Endocrinology, 14*, 1184766. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1184766

Włodarczyk, M., Adamus, P., Zieliński, J., & Kantanista, A. (2021). Effects of velocity-based training on strength and power in elite athletes: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(10), 5257. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18105257

Zouita, S., et al. (2020). Isokinetic trunk strength assessment in athletes: Validity, reliability, and application. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy, 15*(1), 1–14.