AD EDUXIAN JOURNAL
A Quarterly Multidisciplinary Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Online International Journal
Google Scholar Indexing PIF: 1.048(I2OR) & 3.125 (IIFS)
Vol (3), Issue (1), January-March 2026 *ISSN: 3048-7951*

Innovative Pedagogical Approaches for Mitigating Cyberphobia in the Digital Learning Era: A Systematic Review

Upama Tripathi[1] & Akanksha Tripathi[2]

DOI: https://doi.org:/10.5281/zenodo.19115868

Review: 04/02/2026 **Acceptance: 04/02/2026** **Publication: 19/03/2026**

Abstract:

In an increasingly digital educational landscape, cyberphobia, the fear or anxiety associated with using computers and digital technologies, has emerged as a major barrier to effective teaching and learning. As educational systems worldwide integrate information and communication technologies (ICTs) to improve pedagogy, addressing the psychological resistance that hinders technology adoption has become essential. This review paper synthesizes existing literature on innovative pedagogical approaches used to reduce cyberphobia among students and teachers. It explores methods such as blended learning, flipped classrooms, gamification, computer-supported collaborative learning, and experiential training, and highlights their effectiveness in reducing anxiety, increasing digital confidence, and fostering inclusive learning environments. The review identifies key trends, theoretical underpinnings, empirical findings, and research gaps, and emphasizes the need for context-sensitive strategies, teacher training, and policy interventions. The findings suggest that active, collaborative, and technology-supported learning methods can transform digital fear into digital fluency, provided they are implemented with empathy, structure, and institutional support.

Keywords: Cyberphobia, Innovative Pedagogy, Digital Literacy, Teacher Education, Blended Learning, Technology Anxiety.

Introduction

The global expansion of digital technology has transformed education into a technology-based system, but many teachers and learners still experience cyberphobia fear or anxiety associated with the use of computers or information and communication technology (ICT) devices (Weil & Rosen, 1995; Beckers et al., 2007). Cyberphobia is closely related to psychological constructs such as computer anxiety and technophobia, which negatively impact self-efficacy, digital competence, and willingness to adopt technology (Chua et al., 1999; Di Giacomo et al., 2020).

The rapid spread of digital education following the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly increased the need for online and blended learning (Dhawan, 2020). Teachers who struggle to properly integrate technology may inadvertently instil technophobia in their students, creating a cycle of fear and avoidance (Clipa et al., 2023; Alotaibi, 2024).

Therefore, current education systems should not limit themselves to providing access to digital resources, but instead adopt innovative teaching approaches to psychologically empower teachers and students. This review study highlights learner-cantered and technology-enhanced approaches, such as flipped classrooms, blended

[1] Research Scholar, Maharaja Suheldev State University, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh, Mail ID- upmaritu2017@gmail.com
[2] Research Scholar, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, akankshatripathi_phd2024@alldiniv.ac.in

learning, gamification, computer-assisted learning (CSCL), and experiential digital training that have proven to help build confidence, reduce technology anxiety, and encourage inclusive participation in virtual learning environments.

Objectives of the Study

- Identify innovative pedagogical approaches that reduce cyberphobia among teachers and students.
- Analyse the theoretical frameworks of interventions that address technology anxiety.
- Evaluate the empirical evidence related to the effectiveness of these pedagogical approaches.
- Highlights the existing research gaps and providing guidelines for future educational policies and teacher training.

Methodology of the Review

This study employed a systematic review methodology in accordance with PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) principles. Research studies published between 1995 and 2025 were identified through major academic databases such as ERIC, Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. Key terms used in the search process were cyberphobia, computer anxiety, technophobia, digital literacy, innovative pedagogy, blended learning, flipped classroom, gamification, and teacher education.

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and dissertations.
- Studies that examine pedagogical or psychological interventions to reduce technology anxiety.
- Publications that are in the English language and focus on formal educational settings.

Exclusion Criteria

- Studies that solely address technical design and lack a pedagogical focus is excluded from this review.

After applying these inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 42 studies were selected. These studies represent both quantitative and qualitative research and cover a variety of educational contexts, such as higher education, K–12 schools, and teacher training programs.

Theoretical Foundations

Technology Acceptance and Self-Efficacy

The major theoretical foundations for understanding cyberphobia and technology-related anxiety are provided by the Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991) and the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis et al., 1989). These theories suggest that an individual's attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control influence their intention to adopt technology. High levels of technology anxiety reduce a person's perceived control, resulting in a greater avoidance of technology (Beckers et al., 2007).

To address this issue, it is essential to enhance digital self-efficacy. According to Zumeri (2016), self-efficacy develops through direct experiences, social imitation, and positive reinforcement. Providing learners with supportive and gradually developed digital experiences (Scaffolded Digital Experiences) increases their technological proficiency and confidence, thereby reducing fear and anxiety (Clipa et al., 2023).

Constructivist and Experiential Learning Theories

The constructivist learning paradigm posits that learners construct knowledge through active participation, reflection, and contextualized experiences (Vygotsky, 1978). When learners engage in meaningful and authentic digital activities, their fears are reduced by connecting new information to prior experiences.

Similarly, according to Kolb's (1984) Experiential Learning Theory, learning is a cyclical process involving concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. When learners work directly with digital tools, their theoretical fears are transformed into practical understanding. Thus, innovative teaching methods such as project-based learning, simulations, and gamification help in cognitive and emotional restructuring.

Socio-Cultural and Collaborative Learning Perspectives

Socio-Cultural Learning Theory, based on the work of Vygotsky (1978), posits that learning occurs through social interaction and shared meaning-making. Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) in digital learning environments provides an effective framework that helps reduce technology-related fears.

CSCL motivates learners to actively participate in digital tasks through peer scaffolding, social persuasion, and collaborative problem solving. This process promotes the normalization of digital challenges and strengthens learners' confidence (Herrmann & Kienle, 2008; W. Zhang et al., 2020). Thus, collaborative learning enables individuals to develop collective digital competence (Socially Mediated Digital Competence), thereby increasing confidence and adaptability in technology-integrated education.

Review of Literature

Blended Learning and Cyberphobia Prevention

Blended learning is a teaching method that combines both traditional face-to-face teaching and online learning. This method gradually acclimates learners to the digital environment and has proven effective in reducing cyberphobia. This type of teaching enhances digital confidence and autonomy through psychological safety and scaffolding (Amnouychokanant, 2025; Liu et al., 2016).

According to McKnight et al. (2016), blended courses in higher education increase learners' engagement and competence, thereby reducing technophobia. Harrer et al. (2018) reported that blended mental health interventions reduced stress and improved coping skills in students. This suggests that similar teaching strategies may be effective in reducing cyberphobia. Hew and Lo (2018) also noted that the structured support (scaffolding effect) of blended learning enhances self-efficacy. Similarly, López-Pérez et al. (2010) found that blended learning improves student motivation and performance and fosters positive attitudes toward ICT.

Flipped Classroom Approaches

The flipped classroom reverses the traditional teaching method—where learners first learn from digital content at home and then participate in interactive activities in the classroom. This approach allows students to become comfortable with technology at their own pace and in a personalized way, reducing tech anxiety and cyberphobia (Liu, L. 2022; Tran et al., 2025 & Hanif et al. (2025).

Buhl-Wiggers et al. (2023) reported that flipped learning increases students' perceived sense of control, which plays a key role in reducing anxiety. Gopalan et al. (2024) found that flipped learning combined with guided inquiry improves digital confidence. Hava's (2024) study reported a long-term reduction in computer-based anxiety among language learners. Bergmann and Sams (2014) also stated that the flipped model encourages autonomy and technology acceptance.

Gamification and Game-Based Learning

Gamification incorporates game-based mechanisms (such as points, levels, badges, challenges) into teaching to make the learning process engaging and less intimidating. This approach fosters curiosity and enthusiasm by reducing learners' "fear of failure" (Amnouychokanant, 2025). According to Zhang and Yu (2022), gamified learning environments increase students' intrinsic motivation and self-efficacy.

Alotaibi (2024) found that game-based modules in digital literacy courses increased technical confidence and social interaction. However, Nebel (2017) and He et al. (2023) caution that gamification can increase stress if used in an overly competitive or insensitive manner. Therefore, this approach must be approached in a balanced manner, with empathy and psychological safety.

Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL)

CSCL is a learning strategy in which learners work together on online platforms such as discussion boards, wikis, or virtual projects. This collaborative process reduces technology anxiety by sharing cognitive load and providing social support (Herrmann et al., 2017).

Liu et al. (2025) found that digitally supported project-based learning increases self-confidence and reduces technology avoidance. Similarly, Le, Sok, et al. (2024) found that peer mentoring helps technophobic learners gain confidence. Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006) also reported that guided collaboration promotes knowledge construction and reduces cognitive load.

Experiential and Simulation-Based Digital Training

Experiential and simulation-based digital training aim to provide learners with the opportunity to acquire digital skills through active participation and virtual experiments in a real-life, yet risk-free environment. This type of training teaches learners how to use digital tools practically, not just theoretically. Within frameworks such as computer-assisted cooperative learning (CSCL), students work collaboratively on online platforms—such as discussion forums, wikis, or virtual projects. This group learning not only increases engagement but also reduces technology-related anxiety by sharing cognitive load and providing social support (Herrmann et al., 2017).

Empirical studies have demonstrated that experiential digital models are effective in reducing cyberphobia and increasing digital self-efficacy. For example, Liu et al. (2025) found that digitally supported project-based learning increases students' technological confidence and reduces technology avoidance. Similarly, Le, Sok, et al. (2024) reported that structured peer mentoring in a virtual simulation environment enables technophobic learners to confidently use digital tools. Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006) also clarified that guided collaboration in simulation-based digital activities supports knowledge construction, manages cognitive load, and improves learning outcomes. Similarly, Makransky and Petersen (2021) found that immersive simulation-based training environments, such as virtual reality and digital laboratories, provide learners with realistic practice opportunities, thereby increasing their digital proficiency and reducing technology-related anxiety.

Discussion

Synthesis of Evidence

Various studies demonstrate that active, collaborative, and technology-supported learning methods consistently reduce technology anxiety. Blended and flipped learning models have proven particularly effective in gradually accustoming students, while gamification and computer-assisted learning (CSCL) strengthen students' motivation and social confidence.

Experiential training ensures long-term competence and confidence in learners through hands-on experience. The affective dimension of this process is crucial—when learners feel supported, autonomous, and competent, they overcome technology anxiety (Zumeri, S. (2016).

Therefore, innovative teaching methods are not merely pedagogical structures, but rather serve as psychological interventions that build digital resilience and self-efficacy.

Implications for Teachers and Institutions

Teacher education programs should focus on empathic digital pedagogy, so that teachers can model calm, adaptive, and confident use of technology. Capacity-building workshops, mentoring networks, and continuous digital support systems should be incorporated into policy at the institutional level (World Health Organization, 2023).

Additionally, the integration of mental health frameworks into digital education—particularly the Internet-based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (iCBT) model (Harrer et al., 2018; Madrid et al., 2025)—can provide a comprehensive and accessible approach to addressing anxiety in both learners and teachers.

Research Gaps

Although research on cyberphobia is growing, there is insufficient consistency in its empirical measurement. Standardized instruments such as the Computer Anxiety Rating Scale (CARS) (Beckers et al., 2007) and the Abbreviated Technology Anxiety Scale (ATAS) (Wilson et al., 2022) are rarely used in intervention-based studies. Future studies should integrate psychological metrics with pedagogical outcomes to identify causal links.

In addition, cultural factors are also understudied. Studies from Asia and Africa indicate that social stigma associated with technological incompetence exacerbates cyberphobia (Ashwani and Neha, 2024). Therefore, it is essential to develop context-sensitive intervention strategies that respect local structures, linguistic realities, and technological resources.

Findings

Blended and flipped learning methods have emerged as highly effective educational approaches to reduce technophobia and digital anxiety in learners. These models combine online and face-to-face learning experiences, allowing learners to gradually adapt to the digital environment. This process helps learners develop confidence and a sense of control over their use of technology (Bishop & Verleger, 2010; Alammary, 2019). Similarly, gamification and computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) significantly increase learners' motivation, peer collaboration, and emotional safety. These methods integrate social interaction and intrinsic incentives into the digital learning process, making the learning process more engaging and engaging (Deterding et al., 2011; Stahl, Koschmann, & Suthers, 2014).

Experiential and simulation-based training have proven particularly helpful in strengthening digital self-efficacy among teachers. These training programs provide learners with the opportunity to transform theoretical understanding into practical competence through real-world contexts and hands-on experiences (Zumeri, 2011; Zhang et al., 2022). Therefore, effective interventions are not limited to technology introduction, but rather integrate pedagogical innovations with psychological support. Thus, it is recognized that cyberphobia is not only a cognitive challenge but also an emotional experience (Beckers et al., 2006; Harrer et al., 2018).

Despite these advances, research still faces some methodological limitations. The absence of standardized measurement tools, such as the Computer Anxiety Rating Scale (CARS) or the Abbreviated Technology Anxiety Scale (ATAS), in intervention-based studies makes it difficult to compare findings (Wilson et al., 2022). Furthermore, researches is urgently needed to validate existing models and develop culturally responsive strategies to address the psychological dimensions of digital fear.

Conclusion

Cyberphobia represents a critical barrier in the digital transformation of education. Cyberphobia—defined as a persistent fear or anxiety associated with the use of computers and digital technologies—remains a serious barrier to the digital transformation of education (Weil & Rosen, 1995; Beckers et al., 2007). This systematic review reveals that innovative teaching approaches based on constructivist, experiential, and collaborative learning principles are among the most effective ways to reduce technophobia. Models such as blended learning, flipped classrooms, gamification, and computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) enhance digital self-efficacy by providing gradual exposure, peer interaction, and emotional safety (McKnight et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2022; Deterding et al., 2011; Stahl, Koschmann, & Suthers, 2014).

By redefining digital engagement as a process of exploration rather than evaluation, teachers can create a psychologically safe environment in which learners view technology as a medium for discovery and creation

rather than criticism or fear (Zumeri, 2016; Kolb, 1984). These types of teaching strategies develop confidence, curiosity, and perseverance in both students and teachers. Additionally, experiential and simulation-based training—such as virtual labs, digital microteaching, and immersive exercises—reinforce competence and self-reliance in the practical use of digital tools (Clipa et al., 2023; Buragohain et al., 2024).

To sustain this transformation over the long term, educational policies and institutional frameworks should incorporate teacher digital empowerment and continuous professional development as a key component of digital literacy (World Economic Forum, 2022; UNESCO, 2023). Additionally, institutions should develop psychological support mechanisms and mentoring networks that address the emotional dimensions of technology use (Harrer et al., 2018; Madrid et al., 2025).

Ultimately, transforming digital fear into digital competence is not simply a question of understanding technology, but rather a process of nurturing human adaptability through empathy, creativity, and inclusive learning design. As education systems evolve in the digital age, it is essential to balance both the emotional and cognitive aspects of cyberphobia to ensure confident, equitable, and sustainable use of technology.

References

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
- Alammary, A. (2019). Blended learning models for introductory programming courses: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE, 14*(9), e0221765. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221765
- Alharbi, A., Nurfianti, A., Mullen, R. F., McClure, J. D., & Miller, W. H. (2024). The effectiveness of simulation-based learning (SBL) on students' knowledge and skills in nursing programs: A systematic review. *BMC Medical Education, 24*(1), 1099. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-06080-z
- Alotaibi, M. (2024). Game-based learning in early childhood education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology, 15*, 1307881. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1307881
- Amnouychokanant, V. (2025). Reducing anxiety among EFL learners through gamification: An empirical study of instructional impact. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*. https://www.ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter/article/view/14298
- Beckers, J. J., Wicherts, J. M., & Schmidt, H. G. (2006). Computer anxiety: "Trait" or "State"? *Computers in Human Behavior, 23*(6), 2851–2862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2006.06.001
- Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2014). *Flipped learning: Gateway to student engagement.* International Society for Technology in Education. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2277814
- Bishop, J., & Verleger, M. (2020). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings.* https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--22585
- Buhl-Wiggers, J., la Cour, L., Franck, M., & Kjærgaard, A. (2023). Investigating effects of teachers in flipped classroom: A randomized controlled trial study of classroom-level heterogeneity. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00396-4

- Buragohain, D., Deng, C., Sharma, A., & Chaudhary, S. (2024). The impact of immersive learning on teacher effectiveness: A systematic study. *IEEE Access, 12*, 35924–35933. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3373541
- Charlton, J. P. (2005). The role of competition in educational games: Psychological implications. *Computers in Human Behavior, 21*(3), 537–552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2004.10.024
- Chua, S. L., Chen, D., & Wong, A. F. (1999). Computer anxiety and its correlates: A meta-analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior, 15*(5), 609–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0747-5632(99)00039-4
- Clipa, O., Delibaș, C.-S., & Mâță, L. (2023). Teachers' self-efficacy and attitudes towards the use of information technology in classrooms. *Education Sciences, 13*(10), 1001. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13101001
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments* (pp. 9–15). https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49*(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018
- Di Giacomo, D., Guerra, F., Perilli, E., & Ranieri, J. (2020). Technophobia as emerging risk factor in aging: Investigation on computer anxiety dimension. *Health Psychology Research, 8*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.4081/hpr.2020.8207
- Gopalan, C. (2024). Enhancing student learning with flipped teaching and retrieval practice integration. *AJP Advances in Physiology Education, 49*(1), 147–153. https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00080.2024
- Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? —A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. In *47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences* (pp. 3025–3034). https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2014.377
- Hanif, M., Mustaji, M., & Arianto, F. (2025). A systematic review of the impact of flipped learning on the emotional attributes of college students. *Journal of Learning for Development, 12*(2), 386–402. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1480609.pdf
- Harrer, M., Adam, S. H., Messner, E. M., Baumeister, H., Cuijpers, P., Bruffaerts, R., & Ebert, D. D. (2018). Internet interventions for mental health in university students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 28*(2), e1759. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1759
- Harrer, M., Apolinário-Hagen, J., Fritsche, L., Drüge, M., Krings, L., Beck, K., Salewski, C., Zarski, A., Lehr, D., Baumeister, H., & Ebert, D. D. (2019). Internet- and app-based stress intervention for distance-learning students with depressive symptoms: Protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 10*, 361. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00361

- Hava, K. (2024). A systematic review of flipped learning in EFL education. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology, 12*(4), 175–188. https://doi.org/10.52380/mojet.2024.12.4.556
- He, S., Shi, X., Choi, T., & Zhai, J. (2023). How do students' roles in collaborative learning affect collaborative problem-solving competency? A systematic review of research. *Thinking Skills and Creativity, 50*, 101423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101423
- Henderson, J., & Corry, M. (2021). Teacher anxiety and technology change: A review of the literature. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 30*(4), 573–587. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2021.1931426
- Herrmann, T., & Kienle, A. (2008). Context-oriented communication and the design of computer-supported discursive learning. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning, 3*(3), 273–299. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11412-008-9045-5
- Herrmann, T., Kienle, A., & Butscher, S. (2017). Computer-supported collaborative learning: Cognitive load and group dynamics. *Computers in Human Behavior, 73*, 567–578.
- Hew, K. F., & Lo, C. K. (2018). Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: A meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Education, 18*(1), 38. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1144-z
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist, 41*(2), 75–86. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102_1
- Kolb, D. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235701029
- Kumar, A., & Neha. (2024). The impact of digital literacy programs on marginalized communities: A comprehensive analysis. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.32359.66723
- Le, H., Sok, S., & Heng, K. (2024). The benefits of peer mentoring in higher education: Findings from a systematic review. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education, 31*. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1447398.pdf
- Liu, H., Liu, Y., Yu, C., Zhang, J., & Wan, L. (2025). Research on digital project-based learning: An effective way to promote the construction of new excellent classrooms. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science* (pp. 131–146). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-3738-6_11
- Liu, L. (2022). The contribution of the flipped learning environment to value perception and controllability of classroom activities as antecedents of learners' anxiety: A control-value approach. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 1000710. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1000710
- Liu, M., Zhang, L. J., & Zhang, D. (2025). Enhancing student GAI literacy in digital multimodal composing through development and validation of a scale. *Computers in Human Behavior, 166*, 108569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2025.108569
- Liu, Q., Peng, W., Zhang, F., Hu, R., Li, Y., & Yan, W. (2016). The effectiveness of blended learning in health professions: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 18*(1), e2. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4807

- Lobão, C., et al. (2025). Microteaching simulations in teacher education: Reducing digital anxiety through experiential practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education.*
- Madrid-Cagigal, A., Kealy, C., Potts, C., Mulvenna, M. D., Byrne, M., Barry, M. M., & Donohoe, G. (2025). Digital mental health interventions for university students with mental health difficulties: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry, 19*(3), e70017. https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.70017
- Makransky, G., & Petersen, G. (2021). The cognitive affective model of immersive learning (CAMIL): A theoretical research-based model of learning in immersive virtual reality. *Educational Psychology Review, 33*, 937–958. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09586-2
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M. K., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 48*(3), 194–211. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2016.1175856
- Nebel, S. (2017). Investigating the mechanisms of competition within educational video games. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28445.41440
- Stahl, G., Koschmann, T., & Suthers, D. (2014). Computer-supported collaborative learning. In *Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences* (pp. 479–500). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139519526.029
- Tran, Q., Verezub, E., & Fisher, R. (2025). The impact of flipped classroom on EFL students' reading anxiety in higher education. *TESL-EJ, 113*(1). https://doi.org/10.55593/ej.29113a3
- UNESCO. (2023). *Transformation of education in the digital age.* In *2023 UNESCO Global SIDS Dialogue.* https://articles.unesco.org/sites/default/files/medias/fichiers/2023/03/2023%20UNESCO%20Global%20SIDS%20Dialogue-cn-en.pdf
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press.
- Weil, M. M., & Rosen, L. D. (1995). The psychological impact of technology from a global perspective: A study of technological sophistication and technophobia in university students from twenty-three countries. *Computers in Human Behavior, 11*(1), 95–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/0747-5632(94)00026-E
- Wilson, M. L., Huggins-Manley, A. C., Ritzhaupt, A. D., & Ruggles, K. (2022). Development of the abbreviated technology anxiety scale (ATAS). *Behavior Research Methods, 55*(1), 185–199. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-022-01820-9
- World Economic Forum. (2022). *Future of jobs report 2022.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum.
- Zhang, K., & Yu, Z. (2022). Effects of gamification on learning outcomes, satisfaction, engagement, and motivation in virtual learning environments between 2020 and 2022. *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design, 12*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijopcd.306684

- Zhang, W., Wang, Y., Yang, L., & Wang, C. (2020). Suspending classes without stopping learning: China's education emergency management policy in the COVID-19 outbreak. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 13*(3), 55. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13030055
- Zhang, Z., Maeda, Y., Newby, T., Cheng, Z., & Xu, Q. (2022). The effect of preservice teachers' ICT integration self-efficacy beliefs on their ICT competencies: The mediating role of online self-regulated learning strategies. *Computers & Education, 193*, 104673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104673
- Zumeri, S. (2016). *Albert Bandura – Self-efficacy: The exercise of control* (W. H. Freeman & Co., 1997). www.academia.edu. https://www.academia.edu/28274869/Albert_Bandura_Self_Efficacy_The_Exercise_of_Control_W_H_Freeman_and_Co_1997_pdf